

AFFIDAVIT FOR SEARCH WARRANT

Commonwealth of Virginia

VA. CODE § 19.2-54

The undersigned Applicant states under oath:

1. A search is requested in relation to an offense substantially described as follows:
 a person to be arrested for whom a warrant or process has been issued identified as follows:

Communicating a Written Threat to Kill or Do Bodily Injury to a Person or Family Member in violation of § 18.2-60(A)(1), Code of Virginia, 2022 has amended

FILED
CRIMINAL

2022 JUN 28 AM 10:44
CLERK OF CIRCUIT COURT
FAIRFAX, VA

CONTINUED ON ATTACHED SHEET

2. The place, person or thing to be searched is described as follows and is a place of abode:

The residence located at **8105 Creekview Drive, Springfield, VA 22153** is fully described as a two-story single-family residence with a red brick exterior and a full basement. There is a two-car garage attached to the residence on the right side. Each of the garage doors and tan in color with small slotted glass panes arranged in an arch-like pattern at the top of each door. The front door to the residence is located in the center of the structure on the side of the residence facing Creekview Drive. The front door is bordered by tan trim on the left, top, and right sides. The numbers "8105" are clearly affixed to the exterior of the building on the tan trim centered above the front door. There is a full-length glass storm door surrounded by green trim in front of the main door. The glass storm door has a gold handle on the left side. There are two concrete steps leading up to the front door with a black iron handrail on the left side. There are two windows on each side of the front door on the ground level; each bordered by tan trim on all sides. There are five windows on the second story aligned directly above the windows and front door on the ground level. On the south-east corner of the front yard is a brown wooden mailbox affixed to a brown wooden pole. The numbers "8105" are painted in black numbers over a white rectangular background on the curb directly in front of the mailbox.

CONTINUED ON ATTACHED SHEET

3. The things or persons to be searched for are described as follows:

The following items that are either owned or used by Paul BOYNE: (A) Computers, computer systems, and data storage devices; (B) cellular telephones and all data contained therein, and any data these devices had access to for the period between June 2020 and June 2022; (C) cameras and scanners, including video recorders and tapes, photographs, and undeveloped film; (D) routers, modems, and network equipment used to connect computers to the internet, including making copies of any firewall or connection-oriented logs that may be transient in nature; (E) manuals and passwords necessary to access seized computer systems, software programs, or individual files; (F) correspondence, letters, notes, or emails that would facilitate or provide information regarding the aforementioned criminal violations; (G) items that establish ownership or use of computers or other devices; (H) documents that show dominion or control of the property searched, to include utility/telephone bills, correspondence, rental, lease or purchase agreements; (I) ammunition and weapons, to include but not limited, to any .50 caliber rounds/weapon and any .308 rifles/cartridges and any other weapons that could be used to facilitate the crime of Threatening Death or Serious Bodily Injury to a Person in violation of § 18.2-60(A)(1), Code of Virginia; (J) Global Positioning System (GPS) device and/or other travel assist directional devices; and (K) proof of occupancy/residency for all inhabitants.

CONTINUED ON ATTACHED SHEET

(OVER)

FILE NO.	
AFFIDAVIT FOR SEARCH WARRANT	
APPLICANT:	
Michael A. Sponheimer, Jr NAME	
Senior Special Agent TITLE (IF ANY)	
4977 Alliance Drive ADDRESS	
Fairfax, Virginia 22030	
Certified to Clerk of	
Fairfax County Circuit Court CITY OR COUNTY	
on	06-28-2022 DATE
SPECIAL AGENT TITLE	 SIGNATURE
Original Delivered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in person <input type="checkbox"/> by certified mail <input type="checkbox"/> by electronically transmitted facsimile <input type="checkbox"/> by use of filing/security procedures defined in the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act	
to Clerk of	Fairfax County Circuit Court CITY OR COUNTY WHERE EXECUTED
on	06-28-2022 DATE
SPECIAL AGENT TITLE	 SIGNATURE

4. The material facts constituting probable cause that the search should be made are:

See Attached Affidavit

FILED
CRIMINAL

2022 JUN 28 AM 10:44

5. The object, thing or person searched for constitutes evidence of the commission of such offense is the person to be arrested for whom a warrant or process for arrest has been issued.
6. Authorization to execute a search warrant of a place of abode other than in the daytime hours between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. is requested. The material facts constituting good cause for such authorization are:

JOHN T. EBBY
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
FAIRFAX, VA

See Attached Affidavit

Reasonable efforts were made to locate a judge, and a judge is not available, before seeking authorization from a magistrate to execute a search warrant other than in the daytime hours between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., with those reasonable efforts being as follows:

OR Reasonable efforts were not made to locate a judge as the following circumstances require the issuance of the search warrant after 5:00 p.m.:


7. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this affidavit AND/OR
- I was advised of the facts set forth in this affidavit in whole or in part, by one or more other person(s). The credibility of the person(s) providing this information to me and/or the reliability of the information provided may be determined from the following facts:

Detective Samantha McCord has been a sworn law enforcement officer with the Connecticut State Police since 2004, and deputized as a Task Force Officer with the Federal Bureau of Investigations since 2018. Detective McCord has testified truthfully in Connecticut state courts on numerous occasions.

The statements above are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Senior Special Agent

TITLE OF APPLICANT



Michael A. Sponheimer, Jr.

APPLICANT

Subscribed and sworn to before me this day.

6/28/2022 @ 10:29am

DATE AND TIME



CLERK MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IN THE COUNTY OF FAIRFAX
COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

FILED
CRIMINAL

2022 JUN 28 AM 10:44

IN THE MATTER OF THE SEARCH OF
THE RESIDENCE LOCATED AT 8105
CREEKVIEW DRIVE, SPRINGFIELD,
VIRGINIA 22153 (FAIRFAX COUNTY)

JOHN T. FREY
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
FAIRFAX, VA
UNDER SEAL

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF A SEARCH WARRANT

I, Michael A. Sponheimer, Jr, having been duly sworn and appointed as a Senior Special Agent for the Virginia State Police, do hereby state as follows:

INTRODUCTION AND AGENT BACKGROUND

1. This affidavit is made in support of an Application for a Search Warrant authorizing the search of the residence located at **8105 Creekview Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22153** located within Fairfax County. The residence is fully described as below.

2. Your affiant is a sworn law enforcement officer as defined in § 9.1-101, Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, and has been employed with the Virginia Department of State Police since March 1998. Your affiant is currently assigned to the High-Tech Crime Division of the Virginia State Police as a Senior Special Agent. Presently, your affiant's duties include enforcing high-tech crime laws throughout the Commonwealth, using technology to develop and obtain information to further investigations, and conducting forensic examinations of electronic evidence and preparing reports related thereto. Previously, your affiant was assigned to the Virginia State Police, Drug Enforcement Section from October 2004 to May 2018 and, during that time, was assigned as a Task Force Officer with the United States Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) Tactical Diversion Squad (TDS) between July 2009 and May 2018. Your affiant's previous duties included investigating violations of federal law and the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia relating to the illegal distribution of controlled substances and/or the illegal procuring or obtaining of controlled substances. Prior to October 2004, your affiant was a uniform State Police Trooper stationed in Fairfax County.

3. Your affiant has received training in the investigation of criminal activity from various local, state, and federal law enforcement agencies, regulatory agencies, and private companies. Your affiant has received specific training regarding the forensic acquisition of data from electronic devices and the forensic examination of digital evidence. Additionally, your affiant has received training in a variety of criminal offenses including those involving the obtaining, distribution, and storage of image and video files depicting the sexual abuse of minors. As a result, your affiant is familiar with the methods used by individuals engaged in such activity. Further, your affiant has received training in the preparation and execution of

criminal complaints, arrest warrants affidavits, and search warrants affidavits. Your affiant has prepared numerous search warrants which have led to successful prosecutions in General District and Circuit courts throughout the Commonwealth of Virginia and in United States District Courts in Virginia, Washington, D.C., and Maryland.

FILED
CRIMINAL
2022 JUN 28 AM 10:44
JOHN T. FREY
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
FAIRFAX, VA

4. Through your affiant's training, experience, and conversations with other experienced investigators and law enforcement personnel, your affiant has become familiar with the methods used by the criminal element to communicate with co-conspirators, witnesses, and others. Your affiant has directly investigated, and assisted with, several cases in which social media and other on-line platforms and personal communication devices (e.g., cellular telephones) were used by both suspects and witnesses to communicate, announce, or celebrate various activities.

5. This Affidavit is not intended to include every fact and matter observed by your affiant or known to the government. Because this Affidavit is submitted for the limited purpose of establishing probable cause for the requested search warrant, your affiant has not included every detail or aspect of the investigation. Rather, your affiant has set forth those facts believed necessary to establish probable cause for the issuance of the requested search warrant. Your affiant has not, however, excluded any information known that would defeat a determination of probable cause.

6. The facts and information contained in this Affidavit are based upon your affiant's personal knowledge of the investigation, information provided by other law enforcement agents, information provided by cooperating individuals, and other information gathered during the investigation. All observations referenced below that were not personally made by your affiant were related to your affiant by the persons who made such observations.

RELEVANT STATUTES

7. Section 18.2-60(A)(1), Code of Virginia, states that "*Any person who knowingly communicates, in a writing, including an electronically transmitted communication producing a visual or electronic message, a threat to kill or do bodily injury to a person, regarding that person or any member of his family, and the threat places such person in reasonable apprehension of death or bodily injury to himself or his family member, is guilty of a Class 6 felony.*"

DESCRIPTION OF ITEMS TO BE SEARCHED

8. The residence located at **8105 Creekview Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22153** is fully described as a two-story single-family residence with a red brick exterior and a full basement. There is a two-car garage attached to the residence on the right side. Each of the garage doors and tan in color with small slotted glass panes arranged in an arch-like pattern at

the top of each door. The front door to the residence is located in the center of the structure on the side of the residence facing Creekview Drive. The front door is bordered by tan trim on the left, top, and right sides. The numbers "8105" are clearly affixed to the exterior of the building on the tan trim centered above the front door. There is a full-length glass storm door surrounded by green trim in front of the main door. The glass storm door has a gold handle on the left side. There are two concrete steps leading up to the front door with a black iron handrail on the left side. There are two windows on each side of the front door on the ground level; each bordered by tan trim on all sides. There are five windows on the second story aligned directly above the windows and front door on the ground level. On the south-east corner of the front yard is a brown wooden mailbox affixed to a brown wooden pole. The numbers "8105" are painted in black numbers over a white rectangular background on the curb directly below the mailbox.

DESCRIPTION OF ITEMS TO BE SEIZED

9. The following items and other records relating to communicating a written threat to kill or do bodily injury to a person or family member that are either owned or used by Paul BOYNE:

- a) Computers, computer systems, data storage devices, to include floppy disks, CD-ROMs, Zip disks, USB flash drives, and storage cards and all data contained therein, including all photographs and communications found in documents, files, folders, images, emails, or other items and information.
- b) Cellular telephones and all data contained therein, including, call logs, text and/or video messages, stored telephone numbers, address books, calendars, e-mail messages, video files and image files, and any data these devices had access to for the period between June 2020 and June 2022.
- c) Cameras and scanners, including video recorders and tapes, photographs, and undeveloped film.
- d) Routers, modems, and network equipment used to connect computers to the internet, including making copies of any firewall or connection-oriented logs that may be transient in nature.
- e) Manuals, passwords, and encryption keys necessary to access seized computer systems, software programs, or individual files.
- f) Correspondence, letters, handwritten notes, emails (whether electronically stored or printed) that would facilitate or provide information regarding the aforementioned criminal violations.
- g) Documents or items that establish ownership or use of the seized computers or

FILED
CRIMINAL
2022 JUN 28 AM 10:44
JOHN T. FREY
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
PA 15000

FILED
CRIMINAL

other devices.

- h) Items that show dominion or control of the property search slip to include utility/telephone bills, correspondence, rental, lease or purchase agreements and other identifying documents.
- i) Ammunition and weapons, to include, any .50 caliber rounds or weapons and any .308 rifles or cartridges and any other weapons that could be used to threaten, maim, or kill from a distance and could facilitate the crime of Communicating a Written Threat of Death or Serious Bodily Injury to a Person in violation of § 18.2-60(A)(1), Code of Virginia.
- j) Global Positioning System (GPS) device and/or other travel assist directional devices for locating a residence or specific address when travelling.
- k) Proof of occupancy/residency for all inhabitants.

SEARCHED 128 APR 10 44
JOHN T. FREY
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
FAIRFAX, VA

10. As used above, the terms "records" and "information" include all of the foregoing items of evidence in whatever form and by whatever means they may have been created or stored, including any form of computer or electronic storage – such as flash memory or other media that can store data.

STATEMENT OF PROBABLE CAUSE

11. During January 2021, your affiant was contacted by Detective Samantha McCord with the Connecticut State Police. Detective McCord advised your affiant that she was investigating a case in which a resident of Fairfax County, Virginia had posted material on-line which both threatened and harassed judges and other court officials from the Connecticut State Criminal Justice and Family Courts. The suspect had a long history of posting such inflammatory and threatening material and had been the subject of numerous law enforcement investigations over recent years.

12. Detective McCord provided your affiant with the following summary of their investigation to date:

13. Detective Samantha McCord, is a sworn law enforcement officer employed by the Connecticut State Police and has been so employed since 2004. Detective McCord has also been a deputized FBI Task Force Officer since 2018. At all times discussed hereafter Detective McCord was acting in her official capacity. Detective McCord is currently assigned to the Connecticut State Police, Computer Crimes Unit (CCU) and has been trained in specialized investigations including Internet child exploitation, National Center for Missing Children (NCMEC) CyberTips, Internet Crimes Against Children (ICAC) undercover investigations and computer forensics.

FILED

CRIMINAL

14. Detective Mark Conway is a sworn member of the Watertown Police Department, located in Watertown, Connecticut and has been a member of that department since October 2002. At all relevant times mentioned herein, Detective Conway was acting in his official capacity as a law enforcement officer. As a result, Detective Conway has personal knowledge of the facts and circumstances related herein, and as the result of his own investigation and those of other law enforcement officers acting in conjunction with him and reporting their findings to him.

15. On or around June 25, 2020, a complaint was received by the Connecticut State Police from Connecticut Chief State's Attorney Rich Colangelo. Sergeant Richard Alexandre, the Commanding Officer of the Connecticut State Police, Computer Crimes Unit, assigned Detective McCord to investigate this complaint of online harassment, threatening, and intimidation of state criminal justice and family court officials. Sgt. Alexandre provided a printout from the website identified as *thefamilycourtcircus.com*. Based on the printout and online review, this website showed a long chronological listing of writings that have escalated to threatening and intimidating speech directed at specific Connecticut state judges, court personnel, State of Connecticut legislators, and private citizens. This website was hosted primarily on an offshore hosting site run by a company in the Netherlands. This offshore company had been non-responsive to United States law enforcement contact.

16. On or about August 29, 2021, the hosting site for *thefamilycourtcircus.com* website was changed to a location in Florida and data from the Netherlands server was moved to the new location within the United States. For both hosting locations, the paying subscriber/customer had total domain control, such as creating, posting, and editing content via a secure login, which required a unique username and password. The website does not allow anyone to makes posts without the username and password.

17. The most recent website postings (between approximately June 24, 2020 and April 24, 2022) generated numerous complaints from the victims identified in the postings. The victims expressed fear, distress, and alarm for themselves and their family. The postings and formal complaints were reviewed by a combination of the Connecticut State Police Major Crimes Unit, Bias Unit, Patrol Troopers, Computer Crimes Unit, local law enforcement, United States Attorneys Margaret Donovan and Neeraj Patel, and FBI Special Agent Ron Offutt (Connecticut). Several Judicial and Executive court officials have provided the Connecticut State Police with statements attesting to their fear and alarm, including fear of serious physical harm or death at the hands of the website poster or someone the poster would incite. Some victims had their personal residential addresses exposed along with pictures and instructions on judicial assassination. Specific threats were made against Connecticut Superior Court Judge Eric Coleman (who was assigned to the Family Court docket at the time of his interview in February 2021). Judge Coleman expressed fear for his life and that of his family. Additional details of the threatening posts are listed below.

FILED
CRIMINAL

18. On or around January 25, 2022, Connecticut State Police Detective McCord obtained a Connecticut state search warrant for *thefamilycourtcircus.com* website content, billing and subscriber identification, and IP login history. This search warrant was executed at the electronic service provider of the website, identified as GlowHost.com, LLC.

19. On or around February 11, 2022, GlowHost.com, LLC provided the Connecticut State Police with data responsive to the served search warrant related to *thefamilycourtcircus.com* website. Billing data showed the subscriber client username was *paboyme@icloud.com* with sign-up IP address listed as 68.100.87.172 and a sign-up date of August 26, 2021. The sign-up IP address was later determined to resolve to a Cox Communications subscriber identified as Peter BOYNE with a physical address of **8105 Creekview Drive, Springfield, Virginia**. The billing contact was listed as **Paul BOYNE** of "BCG International" located at **8105 Creekview Drive, Springfield, Virginia** and paying with a Visa credit card ending in 4728 for a Personal Hosting Plan for *thefamilycourtcircus.com* website.

20. Paul A. BOYNE, who resides at **8105 Creekview Drive, Springfield, Virginia**, is a former a resident of Glastonbury, Connecticut and is known to Connecticut law enforcement personnel. BOYNE has two active arrest warrants involving incidents of harassment and breach of peace. The first warrant is from 2013 for Breach of Peace against his attorney and occurred in a state courthouse. The second warrant is from 2016 for harassment and criminal impersonation against a State of Connecticut Department of Children and Family Services employee.

21. Paul BOYNE was also the subject of a 2017 harassment investigation by Detective Mark Conway of the Watertown (Connecticut) Police Department. This case involved a family going through a bitter child custody case. The victims were a male litigant, his fiancé, and the male litigant's juvenile stepson. All three persons were harassed and threatened on a similar website found at URL <https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/dpress.com>. The postings were eventually traced back to BOYNE's residence in Springfield, Virginia. In that case, posts on the website describe the juvenile victim as a child predator. The juvenile victim subsequently had a nervous breakdown and had to leave school. The investigator closed the case by deferring to the FBI as they were concurrently investigating BOYNE for cyber stalking and making other threats on the same website. In the FBI investigation, it was determined that BOYNE had lost custody of his children in a family court in Connecticut and subsequently became disgruntled and associated himself with other disgruntled family court litigants. BOYNE moved out of Connecticut and in with his parents in Springfield, Virginia. BOYNE has also been known to communicate via email with Edward TAUPIER, a Connecticut resident convicted of threatening Connecticut Superior Court Judge Bozzuto in 2016. The FBI linked the 2017 family court circus website that had the URL of <https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/dpress.com>, to a Cox Communications subscriber identified as Peter BOYNE who resided at **8105 Creekview Drive, Springfield, Virginia**. A

federal search warrant was executed at this residence on January 19, 2017. No arrest was made in that case.

22. On or about August 4, 2021, United States Attorneys Margaret Donovan and Neeraj Patel (District of Connecticut) began review of this case. AUSA Donovan obtained a "2703(d) Order" in the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut. The Application for an Order Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 2703(d) was to identify the subscriber of *thefamilycourtcircus.com* website.

23. On or about March 26, 2022, the Court Order was submitted to Cox Communications.

24. On or about April 25, 2022, the Connecticut State Police received the Cox Communications response related the aforementioned Court Order. All the IP addresses associated with the account were linked by Cox Communications to one subscriber: Peter BOYNE at address **8105 Creekview Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22153**.

25. Several posts on BOYNE's website establish the escalation of threats to public officials in the State of Connecticut and are identified and explained below.

26. A post on *thefamilycourtcircus.com* website dated October 16, 2020 read:

All mothers in Connecticut should be on the lookout for Assistant State's Attorney Denise B. Smoker, as she is zealously arguing that Connecticut is legally correct in jailing mom because she did not beat her kids, tie them up, and drag them kicking and screaming back to their abusive father. Ms. Smoker is not up for mother of the year award. She might be upset that such personal jewdicial misconduct makes her house on Bayberry Lane in Branford a target of F35 smart bombs, death rays from the space station, and a gun toting army of angry mothers with large capacity magazines, not to mention her house being a flammable protest site for mothers wearing Black Lives Matter tee shirts.

When criminals run the justice system, when the courts convict the innocent, when mothers cannot protect the fruit of the womb, when pedos rule, where the cops are corrupt, when the government becomes the enemy, the people being left with only the retort of a rifle and .308 ball ammunition. This is the time that blood of tyrants like Nash, Hernandez, Bright, Stoker, and Colangelo is needed to refresh the tree of liberty.

¹ 2020, October 16, "Cert Granted?" *Family Court Circus* [web blog], <https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/2020/10/16/cert-granted>, accessed June 23, 2022.

2022 JUN 28 AM 10:45

FILED
CRIMINAL

(NOTE: These names appear to be references to Connecticut State Superior Court Judges Karen Nash Sequino, Alex Hernandez, William Bright and CT States Attorneys Denise Smoker, and Richard Colangelo, retired.)

27. A post on *thefamilycourtcircus.com* website dated December 31, 2020, titled "Shoot the Lawyers", discussed "old English societal reform" applied to "present day family court", equating reform to "[l]et's kill all the lawyers." The post described what caliber of ammunition can be used: ".50 cal to the head" of "GAL" attorneys.² The post also promoted violence against court forensic experts:

*Tired of the court scam of appointed 'psychologists' to charge ridiculous amounts of money to apply jewish psycho-babble in the fraudulent form of a 'forensic evaluation', more precisely known as a 'baseless biased opinion' directed by the judge? Pop! A .45 right between the eyes provides a cranial therapeutic probe, which not only cures the delusion of expertise, it also serves to discourage other quacksters from promoting similar snake-oil in a public forum.*³

28. In this same post, the author used the allegory of "Dick The Butcher" to foment violence:

*Let's expound upon the wisdom of Dick The Butcher in William Shakespeare's play Henry VI ... Let's kill all the lawyers.*⁴

29. The post further suggested violent action using the term "application":

*Application of this old English societal reform to present day family court holds cause and efficiencies not achievable by political or judicial action. Why take an entire day off work to go to the state house to wait in line to speak for 3 minutes on how a jewish lawyer appointed as a GAL plundered the family savings account while providing unfounded recommendations? A .50 cal to the head takes care of the problem. Not only is there no GAL left to file a motion of contempt for non-payment, the word gets out quickly that GALs are not immune to bullets.*⁵

30. By stating a ".50 cal" bullet meant for a "Jewish lawyer appointed as a GAL," the

² "GAL" is an acronym for Family Court's Guardian Ad Litem attorneys.

³ 2020, December 31, "Shoot the Lawyers!" *Family Court Circus* [web blog],

<https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/2020/12/31/shoot-the-lawyers>, accessed June 23, 2022.

⁴ Ibid.

⁵ Ibid.

FAIRFAX, VA
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
JOHN T. FREY
AM 10:45
2022 JUN 28

CRIMINAL
FILED

incitement of serious injury is based on religion and "no GAL left" implies murdering anyone assigned to this role within the court system.

31. Also, in this same post, the author's statements fomented violence against former Connecticut court personnel victims including Martin Libbins:

Of great application of bullets to the right of public scrutiny would be the use of belt-fed ammo to the judicial chambers....always start by shooting up Martin Libbin's⁶ office.⁷

32. The same post continued by advocating physical violence, laced with bigoted threats, against specific attorneys and judges:

In specifics, the world would be a better place had a modern-day Dick The Butcher popped Attorney Reuben Midler, Attorney Michael Meehan and Judge Donna Heller preventing them from destroying the Dulos family.⁸ Properly lined up, a single .50 cal would have done all three at once. Dick The Butcher would have been righteous in employing a meat cleaver to sever the head of Judge Barry Pinkus⁹ prior to Baby Aaden being tossed off the Middletown Bridge.¹⁰ Dick The Butcher would have a chop fest with the judiciary committee. Handing up a nice fillet of Representative Rosa Rebimbas.... Nice sausages, rich in fat, from the ground up Senator John Kissel,¹¹ shadow architect of statutes designed to hide GAL fees from the IRS. Some dark offal for the wolves from the putrid Eric Coleman, cock sucker to the great white paedophiles of the state. And what say the Old English Butcher with regard to the jews, dykes and queers in black robes? Fine meat pies with gravy from Bozzutto, Adelman, Murphy, Munro, Wetstone, Suarez, Emons, Williams, Albis, Ficeto¹² and the like?¹³

⁶ Martin Libbins is a retired Connecticut Judicial branch attorney.

⁷ 2020, December 31, "Shoot the Lawyers!" *Family Court Circus* [web blog], <https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/2020/12/31/shoot-the-lawyers>, accessed June 23, 2022.

⁸ The Dulos family case was a highly publicized case in Connecticut where the husband was arrested for murder of the wife and subsequently committed suicide.

⁹ Judge Barry Pinkus is a retired Connecticut State judge.

¹⁰ Another well documented Connecticut Family Court case from 2015.

¹¹ Both Rosa Rebimbas and John Kissel are Connecticut State Legislators.

¹² All ten listed names are former or current sitting Connecticut Superior Court Judges who have served on the Family Court docket at some point in the prior five years.

¹³ 2020, December 31, "Shoot the Lawyers!" *Family Court Circus* [web blog], <https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/2020/12/31/shoot-the-lawyers>, accessed June 23, 2022.

FILED
2022 JUN 28 AM 10:45
JOHN T. FREY
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
FAIRFAX, VA
CRIMINAL

33. In a January 30, 2021 post, the author listed threatening, inciting, and intimidating speech against Connecticut State Superior Court Judge Jane Grossman, in part for her "Zionist" rulings:

Grossman ruled from the bench in that mother had a disability recognized by the court, which applies the federal law of Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Under Title II of this Act, the state court cannot discriminate on the basis of the disability. The state is required to provide an accommodation to assist any qualified person having a disability, being a civil rights protection. Grossman does not even know the law. Stating from the bench that the court is causing severe stress and anxiety to the mother by isolating the children from her, then claiming that such stress is harmful to the mother, then speculating that there will be an episode due to the conduct of the court, clearly proves that the judge is acting in a harmful manner against a qualified individual under the Act, which makes the state liable for deprivation of federal rights protections. Another example of the Connecticut court operating outside the rule of law to affect the goals of zionism to undermine the order of the host society. Again the famous blog recognizes Nigger Boy Robinson¹⁴ and the heretic Michael Albis for allowing Grossman to hold a Witch Trial. Robinson being just a black-faced puppet on jewish strings, devoid of principles of law....

When does Judge Grossman and her pal Hurwitz¹⁵ get the witchcraft of a .50 cal to the head? Would anyone be surprised?¹⁶

34. A post on *thefamilycourtcircus.com* website dated February 5, 2021 read:

Perhaps a .50 cal to Bozzuto's¹⁷ head would focus the attention of the judiciary on executing its duties to the people's standards without covering for the human filth in black robes passed off as judges to an unsuspecting populace. Put shit on the bench, lead shot will eliminate it.¹⁸

35. A post on *thefamilycourtcircus.com* website dated February 6, 2021 read:

¹⁴ Judge Robinson, was referred to in a website post dated January 20, 2019 which stated: "Our nigger boy Chief Justice Robinson of the Connecticut Supreme Court rose to a position to suck jewish dick."

¹⁵ Connecticut Attorney Jocelyn Hurwitz.

¹⁶ 2021, January 23, "She's A Witch!" *Family Court Circus* [web blog], <https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/2021/01/30/shes-a-witch>, accessed June 23, 2022.

¹⁷ Connecticut State Judge Elizabeth Bozzuto.

¹⁸ 2021, February 5, "That's A Fact!" *Family Court Circus* [web blog], <https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/2021/02/05/thats-a-fact>, accessed June 23, 2022.

FAIRFAX, VA
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
JOHN T. FREY
2022 JUN 28 AM 10:45

CRIMINAL
FILED

What is the only constitutional protection left for the sovereign people to remedy the deprivation of rights by Coleman?¹⁹ Pretty simple, the founding right of the report of a rifle, the crack of gunfire, lead shot, and smell of burnt powder. Only the Second Amendment remains for the sovereign people to protect the children. There lies the constitutional case for the assassination of Judge Eric Coleman. A .50 cal to the head, a .308 sniper shot from the grassy knoll through two panes of window glass, complete and rapid discharge of a high capacity magazine in a dark alley. Burn the courthouse to the ground, bring body bags.²⁰

(NOTE: A .50 cal is a .50 caliber M17 bullet round intended for use on full-sized ranges and produced to meet a U.S. military specification. The .308 is a rifle cartridge and a popular short-action, big-game hunting cartridge.)

36. Judge Eric Coleman provided a statement that he was "distressed...because [he does] not know what the author of the article or the administrator of the website is capable of. Moreover [he does] not know anything about the website's audience and who among that audience may be inspired to act on the suggestion to harm or kill [him]...[He] worry [*sic*] about [his] family...[He does] not want [his wife] responding to any door in [their] house being unaware that someone on the other side of that door may have hostile intentions toward [him] or her."

37. Connecticut State Superior Court Judge Jane Grossman provided a statement that she was extremely upset and the website has "changed the way [she thinks] about work and home" since the poster "describes shooting [her] through the windows of [her] home by someone hiding in the woods behind [her] house. (*"Is she begging for a .308 shot to the head thru two panes of window glass from an oath keeper, concealed in the woods behind her house?"*)²¹ Judge Grossman believed this poster is Paul BOYNE based on information gleaned from other victims. Judge Grossman stated that she was afraid, "that the Paul person knew the layout of my house, my property and the neighboring properties was terrifying. I focused on the amount of specificity and planning that went into this: was he actually at my house at some point, or in my yard, or my neighbor's yard? I worried about when this might have happened, I scoured my security camera history and examined our property for evidence of someone's presence. The room described in the threat is actually a favorite part of my home. It became difficult for me to relax in that space....I found myself scouring the areas, looking for people hiding in the woods.

¹⁹ Connecticut State Superior Court Judge Eric Coleman.

²⁰ 2021, February 6, "Judicial Assassination." *Family Court Circus* [web blog], <https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/2021/02/06/judicial-assassination>, accessed June 23, 2022.

²¹ 2021, January 28, "Judge Grotesque." *Family Court Circus* [web blog], <https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/2021/01/28/judge-grotesque>, accessed June 23, 2022.

FAIRFAX, VA
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
JOHN T. FREY
2022 JUN 28 AM 10:45

CRIMINAL
FILED

What adds to my feeling of vulnerability is that Paul [BOYNE] has threatened to shoot me not only in my home but also in my car. ("A quick .50 cal to the head, delivered thru the back window of her car?"²²) It is alarming that he is apparently debating what caliber of gun to shoot me with and from what location. I also find it disconcerting that he knows I drive a car and not a truck or SUV. This made me worry he may have been observing me come and go from home or work."

38. The website also promoted violence against special court services personnel such as psychologists and private attorneys as described in the following post:

*What societal improvements brought on by the simple application of The Butcher's philosophy. The carnage would not even need to be widespread, one or two GALs, a couple court whore psychologists, a few supervised visitation specialists, a selection of family court attorneys, the famous ones, like Rose Marie Guiliano, Steve Dembo, Ciel Gersten, Rhonda Morra, Margaret Bozek, Kate Haakonsen, Jim Ruel, Deb Eisenberg, Meghan Sweeney, Jackie Conlon, Wayne Effron, Sam Schoonmaker, Campbell Barrett, just to name a few. The word gets out quickly as to the demise of the racketeers, the talmudic tyranny and the Butcher's remedy ... bullets.*²³

39. Between February 3, 2021 and February 6, 2021, the individual names of Attorney Reuben Midler, Attorney Michael Meehan, and Judge Donna Heller appeared in Google search engine results and their mention on *thefamilycourtcircus.com* website was one of the top five results showing the pervasiveness of this threatening website on the Internet.

40. A post on the website, dated February 17, 2021, identified a specific individual who was nominated to be a Connecticut state court judge (Linda Allard). The post disclosed her home address and a picture of her residence. The post stated that the individual is:

Another blackish puppet doing her jewish master's bidding. . . . The woods behind her house provide cover and concealment for disgruntled white litigants who will appear before her black bench, to hurl racial slurs with a night scope. Paint 'black lives matter' on the sidewalk. Wake up her white neighbors with megaphones and gunshots, remind them of their evil whiteness that oppresses the fat black lady next door.

...Only the Second Amendment can save the people from these political

²² Ibid.

²³ 2020, December 31, "Shoot The Lawyers!" *Family Court Circus* [web blog], <https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/2020/12/31/shoot-the-lawyers>, accessed June 23, 2022.

JOHN T. FREY
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
FAIRFAX VA

2022 JUN 28 AM 10:45

FILED
CRIMINAL

*parasites, .308 ball ammo preferred.*²⁴

41. A post on *thefamilycourtcircus.com* website dated March 8, 2021 read:

*Happy .308 day! More justice can be dispensed in one bullet than by all of Family Court. Celebrate the Second Amendment as protection from the pedophiles who run family court. Judicial discretion falls to the report of a rifle.*²⁵

42. A post on the website, dated November 23, 2021, identified a Connecticut attorney by name (Candace Fay) and read that the attorney "[I]s a jew's jew. Profiting off the misfortune of others. Her mother must be proud!"²⁶ The post further stated, "Is Candace in line for a .50 cal to the head or is there a Red SUV in her future?"²⁷ The post included a photograph of a bullet and a red sports utility vehicle (SUV). Authorities believe the "red SUV" is a reference to an incident that occurred earlier that same week in the state of Wisconsin where five people were killed when a red SUV drove into a holiday parade.

43. A post on the website, dated December 22, 2021, titled "Hunt Deichert!" identified a Connecticut government attorney by name (Connecticut Assistant Attorney General Rob Deichert). A section of this post read, "Do not underestimate the force of a single .308 ball to the head, or the accuracy of a well adjusted night scope, and fine trigger control...."²⁸ The post included a picture of AAG Deichert with his family and listed his home address. AAG Deichert informed law enforcement officials that he was afraid for his family after this posting and multiple patrol checks were conducted at this residence.

44. A post on the website, dated January 6, 2022, identified two Connecticut State Superior Court judges (Judge Elizabeth Bozzuto and Judge Gerard Adelman) and two Connecticut attorneys (Nancy Aldrich and Jocelyn Hurwitz). The post read, in part:

...a well regulated parental militia must protect the children from the harm of the jews of evil family court, Judge Gerard Adelman gets a .50 cal to the head.... Jews Nancy Aldrich and Jocelyn Hurwitz can suffer a similar fate in the name of protecting children. Nigger Boy Robinson gets

²⁴ 2021, February 17, "Linda Allard?" *Family Court Circus* [web blog], <https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/2021/02/17/linda-allard>, accessed June 23, 2022.

²⁵ 2021, March 8, "Happy .308 Day!" *Family Court Circus* [web blog], <https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/2021/03/08/happy-308-day>, accessed June 23, 2022.

²⁶ 2021, November 23, "Candace Fay." *Family Court Circus* [web blog], <https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/2021/11/23/candace-fay>, accessed June 23, 2022.

²⁷ Ibid.

²⁸ 2021, December 22, "Hunt Deichert!" *Family Court Circus* [web blog], <https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/2021/12/22/hunt-deichert>, accessed June 23, 2022.

FAIRFAX, VA
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
JOHN T. FREY

2022 JUN 28 AM 10:45

FILED
CRIMINAL

*dragged off the bench to swing in a tree with a noose around his neck, hoisted by parents....*²⁹

45. The post further read:

*As Dick the Butcher suggests, first kill all the lawyers, then the judges, but slowly and painfully.... Children are precious, in need of protection from the domestic terrorism of jew family court judges, Second Amendment has a purpose, parental discretion chooses the calibre of the shot that lets freedom ring. Jewish judges are a true threat to children.*³⁰

*...protect the children, shoot a judge, and a lawyer, and a GAL, and a therapist ... a few clerks, and the big nigger in the black robe who jacks off to the sound of children's screams.*³¹

46. The post also displayed a photograph of five rifles with the caption "Choice of calibre is parental discretion" (see Figure 1).




Figure 1: <https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/CE4E907C-A271-410D-809F-15BE12CC2537.jpeg>

47. Judge Gerard Adelman provided a statement that he "has serious concerns about this blog as it repeatedly calls for someone to kill me. Almost every entry speaks about a .50 caliber bullet to my head." Judge Adelman believes the blog is written by Paul BOYNE as he has direct knowledge of BOYNE. Judge Adelman presided in a Connecticut Regional Family Trial Docket for BOYNE's divorce. Judge Adelman's last in-person interaction with BOYNE was around 2013-2014 when he found BOYNE in contempt of court for unpaid support for his

²⁹ 2022, January 6, "Katz Combat!" *Family Court Circus* [web blog], <https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/2022/01/06/katz-combat>, accessed June 23, 2022.

³⁰ Ibid.

³¹ Ibid.

2022 JUN 28 AM 10:45
FILED
CRIMINAL
JOHN T. FREY
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
FAIRFAX, VA

wife.

48. A post on the website, dated May 1, 2022, again identified Connecticut State Superior Court Judge Gerard Adelman and read:

Jefferson opines that when the people become inattentive to public affairs, judges become wolves. Not even a pack of hungry wolves would abuse children to the jew extent of the family court, who must fear now the wrath of Patriots, flash and report of a well aimed muzzle, only the Second Amendment can protect children from tyrants in black robes. Adelman proves beyond a reasonable doubt, jews of Connecticut hijack courts, rule from the talmud, victimize children in worship of their money god. He begs a patriot's .50 cal to the head.³²

49. A post on the website, dated June 8, 2022, identified Connecticut State Superior Court Judge Thomas Moukawsher (a/k/a "Mouk"), along with Judge Moukaesher's picture superimposed in a crosshair (definition: a fine wire or thread in the focus of the eyepiece of an optical instrument used as a reference line in the field or for marking the instrumental axis — used figuratively to describe someone or something being targeted as if through an aiming device having crosshairs) (see Figure 2). The post read, "*It is JUST CAUSE when Mouk gets a .50 cal to the head.*"³³ A second photo of Connecticut State Superior Court Judge Thomas Moukawsher has the caption, "*Given the domestic terrorism, several bullets needed.*"³⁴




Figure 2: <https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/06/cross-hairs-moukawsher.jpg>

COMPUTERS AND ELECTRONIC STORAGE OF DATA

³² 2022, May 1, "Adelshit." *Family Court Circus* [web blog], <https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/2022/05/01/adelshit/>, accessed June 23, 2022.

³³ 2022, June 8, "Where?" *Family Court Circus* [web blog], <https://thefamilycourtcircus.com/2022/06/08/where/>, accessed June 23, 2022.

³⁴ *Ibid.*

FAIRFAX, VA
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
JUN 15 2022
FILED
CRIMINAL

50. A cellular telephone has become a virtual biographer of a person's daily activities. Data within a cellular telephone can log who a person talks to and when those conversations occurred. The data may include call logs, image and video files, contact list of known acquaintances, text messages, email messages, audio files, and any data to which the device had access. Due to the large amounts of data storage available, a cellular telephone has become an indispensable piece of evidence in criminal investigations. Your affiant knows through training and experience that this data, even if deleted, may sometimes be retrieved through forensic examinations conducted by qualified personnel.

51. Your affiant knows through training and experience that the data stored on cellular telephones can be useful in identifying the actual owner of the device and who may have been using the device by examining the calls or messages sent, received, or missed. Further, the data stored on these devices is useful to investigators in that it may show communication between a victim, a suspect, and conspirator(s) or other potential valuable witness(s) prior to, during, or after the time of the crime or incident.

52. Your affiant knows through training and experience that telephone records are stored on a cellular telephone and their related media. This data remains resident on the cellular telephone even if it has been turned off or not used for a long period of time. This cellular telephone data can be extracted and preserved by properly trained personnel and can subsequently be searched. Some data, although deleted, may be recovered and preserved by properly trained personnel.

53. As described above, this warrant seeks permission to search for records that might be found on the premises, in whatever form they are found. One form in which the records might be found is data stored on a computer's hard drive or other storage media. Thus, the warrant applied for would authorize the search of electronic storage media.

54. Your affiant submits that if electronic devices or storage medium are found on the premises, there is probable cause to believe the evidence being sought will be stored on that device or storage medium, for at least the following reasons:

- a) Electronic files or remnants of files can be recovered months or even years after they have been downloaded onto a storage medium, deleted, or viewed via the Internet. Electronic files downloaded to a storage medium can be stored for years at little or no cost. Even when files have been deleted, they can be recovered months or years later.

Deleted files, or remnants of deleted files, may reside in free space or slack space – that is, in space on the storage medium that is not currently being used by an active file – for long periods of time before they are overwritten. In addition, a device's operating system may also keep a record of deleted data in a

FAIRFAX, VA
SUPERIOR COURT
JOHN T. FREY
2022 JUN 28 AM 10:45

CRIMINAL
FILED

"swap" or "recovery" file or other operating system artifacts.

2022 JUN 28 AM 10:46

- JOHN T. FREY
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
FAIRFAX, VA
- c) Wholly apart from user-generated files, device storage media – in particular, a device's internal data storage drives – contain electronic evidence of how a device has been used, what it was used for, and user attribution information. This evidence can take the form of operating system configurations, artifacts from operating system or application operation, file system data structures, virtual memory files, or application settings.
 - d) Similarly, files that have been viewed via the Internet are sometimes automatically downloaded into a temporary Internet directory or "cache" file and can be viewed by trained personnel.

55. This application seeks permission to search for and seize not only electronic files that might serve as direct evidence of the crimes described on this warrant, but also for evidence that establishes how devices were used, the purpose of their use, when they were used, and user attribution information. There is probable cause to believe that this evidence will be on the storage medium within the premises because:

- a) Data on storage medium can provide evidence of a file that was once on the storage medium but has since been edited or deleted. Virtual memory paging files can leave traces of information on the storage medium that show what tasks and processes were recently active. Web browsers, e-mail programs, and chat programs store configuration information on the storage medium that can reveal information such as online usernames and passwords. Operating systems can record information, such as the attachment of peripheral devices, the attachment of USB flash storage devices or other external storage media, and the times a device was used. Operating systems can record information about the dates files were created and the sequence in which they were created.
- b) Information stored within a device and other electronic storage media may provide crucial evidence of the "who, what, why, when, where, and how" of the criminal conduct under investigation, thus enabling the government to establish and prove each element of a criminal act or alternatively, to exclude an innocent individual from further suspicion.
- c) Information stored within a device or storage media (e.g., registry information, communications, images and video files, transactional information, records of session times and durations, internet history, and anti-virus, spyware, and malware detection programs) can indicate who used or controlled the device or storage media. This "user attribution" evidence is analogous to the search for "indicia of occupancy" while executing a search warrant at a residence.

FILED
CRIMINAL
d)

2022 JUN 28 AM 10:48

JOHN T. FREY
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
FAIRFAX, VA

Device and storage media activity can show how and when the device or storage media was accessed or used. For example, electronic devices typically contain information that log device user account session times and durations, activity associated with user accounts, electronic storage media that connected to the device, and the IP addresses through which the device accessed networks and/or the internet. Such information allows investigators to understand the chronological context of device or electronic storage media access, use, and events relating to the crime under investigation.

- e) Some information stored within a device or electronic storage media may provide crucial evidence relating to the physical location of other evidence and/or suspects. For example, images stored on a device may both show a location and have geolocation information incorporated into the file's metadata. Such file metadata typically also contains information indicating when the file or image was created. The existence of such image files, along with external device connection logs, may also indicate the presence of additional electronic storage media. The geographic and timeline information described herein may either inculcate or exculpate the device user.
- f) Information stored within a device may provide relevant insight into the device user's state of mind as it relates to the offense under investigation. For example, information stored within the device may indicate the user's motive and intent to commit a crime (e.g., internet searches indicating criminal planning), or consciousness of guilt (e.g., running an "anti-forensics" program to destroy evidence on the device or password protecting/encrypting such evidence to conceal it from law enforcement).
- g) A person with appropriate familiarity with how a electronic devices work can, after examining this evidence in its proper context, draw conclusions about how a device was used, the purpose of its use, when it was used, and specific user attribution.
- h) The process of identifying the exact files, blocks, registry entries, logs, or other forms of forensic evidence on a storage medium that are necessary to draw an accurate conclusion is a dynamic process. While it is possible to specify in advance the records to be sought, digital evidence is not always data that can be merely reviewed by a review team and passed along to investigators. Whether data stored on a device is evidence may depend on other information stored on the device and the application of knowledge about how a device, a certain operating system, or a particular application behaves. Therefore, contextual information necessary to understand other evidence also falls within the scope of the warrant.

FILED
CRIMINAL

2022 JUN 28 AM 10:46

JOHN T. FREY
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
FAIRFAX, VA

i) Further, in finding evidence of how a device was used, the purpose of its use, it was used, and user attribution, sometimes it is necessary to establish that an item is not present on a storage medium. For example, the presence or absence of counter-forensic programs or anti-virus programs (and associated data) may be relevant to establishing the user's intent.

REQUEST FOR AUTHORIZATION FOR NIGHTTIME SERVICE

56. For the reasons identified below, your affiant requests that this search warrant include the provision that it may be executed at any time of the day. This request stems from the reasonable belief that the legitimate goals of law enforcement could not be served by a daytime search warrant. Your affiant believes that a search during "daylight" hours would impede the investigation because (i) evidence could likely be removed, hidden, or destroyed and (ii) such time restrictions would pose an unnecessary risk to the law enforcement officers executing the warrant.

57. Your affiant requests that the execution of this search warrant be authorized outside of the hours between 8:00 AM and 5:00 PM due to safety concerns for those involved. Because several posts on BOYNE's website speak about using high-powered rifles to "refresh the tree of liberty" and the use of such weapons to murder judicial and elected officials, there is good cause to believe that one or more occupants of the residence would likely have the proclivity to engage in an armed standoff against law enforcement officers if given the opportunity. Authorizing the execution of this search warrant at any time will provide law enforcement officers with the ability to minimize unnecessary risk and diminish the likelihood of an adverse encounter with occupants of the residence.

58. Additionally, the use of mobile electronic devices in investigations has grown considerably. It is crucial to execute this search warrant at a time when most occupants and devices are physically within the residence in order to locate and examine these devices to gather any potential evidence. The potential for law enforcement agents to gather relevant physical evidence is most likely to occur outside of the hours between 8:00 AM and 5:00 PM.


59. Upon approval of the Court, authorization to execute this Search Warrant will be granted for non-daytime hours, but in no case, shall this warrant be executed before 5:00 AM.

CONCLUSION

60. Based on the above-provided information, your affiant respectfully submits there is sufficient probable cause to believe that Paul BOYNE is the operator and administrator of the website located at the URL <https://thefamilycourtcircus.com>. Further, BOYNE uses this website to publicly incite injury to persons or property and threaten and intimidate both government officials and private citizens. Numerous posts on this website establish threats to


public officials. These written threats have placed these persons in reasonable apprehension of death or bodily injury to themselves or their family members. Since this website doesn't allow public posts, it is reasonable to believe that BOYNE is the only person that can add such content to this website. Lastly, BOYNE currently resides at **8105 Creekview Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22153**, within Fairfax County, and that a search of that residence will reveal evidence of the violation of § 18.2-60(A)(1), Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael A Sponheimer, Jr.
Senior Special Agent
Virginia State Police

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED before me this 28th of June, 2022 at 10:28 am



Judge
Fairfax County, Virginia

JOHN T. FREY
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
FAIRFAX, VA

2022 JUN 28 AM 10:46

FILED
CRIMINAL

VIRGINIA

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY

In re Search Warrant

~~Plaintiff,~~

v.

~~Defendant.~~

)
)
) KM-22 No. 365
)
)
)

ORDER

This cause came to be heard on the 8 day of July, 2022 on the Plaintiff's/Defendant's motion for unseal the affidavit.

Upon the matters presented to the Court at the hearing, it is

ADJUDGED, ORDERED, and DECREED as follows:

The affidavit shall be released.

Entered this 8 day of July, 2022.

Grace Burke Carroll
~~JUDGE GRACE BURKE CARROLL~~

SEEN:

SEEN AND OBJECTED TO: f

[Signature]
Counsel for Plaintiff(s)

[Signature]
Counsel for Defendant(s) *Respect*
Dennis Somers, VSB 44562

ENDORSEMENT OF THIS ORDER BY COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR THE PARTIES IS WAIVED IN THE DISCRETION OF THE COURT PURSUANT TO RULE 1:13 OF THE SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA.