

25 Jan 2021

Re: Joriz Tiberi

To Whom It May Concern:

I was contacted by Ms. Tiberi at the end of August with a request for “some sort of assistance” in the context of her ongoing divorce. She was first seen by me on 3 Sept 2020 for Family Specialist/Divorce Coach and/or Co-Parenting Counseling services. The focus has been consultation regarding the current status of Ms. Tiberi’s divorce and her communications and actions in the interest of her relationship with her son and a cooperative approach to co-parenting. I have consulted with her psychotherapist and the guardian ad litem, conducted an objective measure of personality functioning, and we have met individually and in 3-way meeting with Attorney Laliberte. I have not met Dr. Tiberi.

Through these conversations and review of records, it was clear that eventhough Ms. Tiberi had completed the requirements of the 2019 Custody Evaluation, the steps recommended for incrementally increased parenting time in that evaluation had not yet been put in place. Ms. Tiberi is still regularly involved in the recommended/court ordered psychotherapy, had a medication evaluation with no medication recommended, and completed other requirements, and no particularly negative or concerning events have occurred for a year or more. In the context of my involvement, I have seen no evidence of psychopathology that would interfere with Ms. Tiberi’s parenting or even custody of her son. The reasons for ongoing highly limited contact between her and Leo have been unclear to me. I do not see Ms. Tiberi being a risk to her son. I have not seen how being denied additional time with his mother would be helpful to Leo. I believe she has a true interest in and commitment to being a mother to Leo and that there is value in what she can provide.

Sincerely,



Beth M. Karassik, Ph.D.