

FBT FA19 6088163

CHRISTOPHER AMBROSE

v.

KAREN AMBROSE

SUPERIOR COURT

BRIDGEPORT J.D.

AT RFTD

FEBRUARY 15, 2022

MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION

453.10

The undersigned moves the court to clarify its incomprehensible dicta in order [453.10]: “The findings and orders of the court will be issued at the end of the trial and after all the evidence has been heard.” The nub of the issue is plaintiff’s motion for declaratory judgment [449], plead under remedial statute, §52-29, set down by the legislature forcing the court to address rights and legal relations not subject to ‘evidence’. To wit: “declare rights and other legal relations on request for such a declaration, whether or not further relief is or could be claimed.” The court is asked to address constitutional principles which do not turn on competing opinions of errant judges, an unscrupulous court vendor, or a vindictive father paying attorneys over a quarter of a million dollars for abuse of process.

WHEREFORE, Adelman to comply with state law and issue the requested declaration.



Karen Riordan, Pro Se